P.O. BOX 1450 COMMISSIONER

IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

MAILED FROM ZIP CODE

UNABLE TO FORWARD

ON SUCH NUMBER

VACANT CHEFUSED

ONSUFFICIENT ADDRESS

AVAILABLE COPY

0 2 2006

USPTO MAIL CENTER



United States Patent and Trademark Office

IFW &

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,910	11/28/2003	Jimmie L. Johnston		1890	
7590 03/28/2006			EXAMINER		
Jimmie L. Johnston			LAUX, JESSICA L		
2078 Summerfie Castle Rock, Co	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Cushic Rosa, Co. Coro			3635		
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/722,9	10	JOHNSTON, JIMMIE L.				
		Examine		Art Unit				
		Jessica L		3635				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THE STATE OF THE	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 28 November 2	2003.					
′=	•	o)⊠ This action is i						
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims			,				
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	3) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🖂	Claim(s) $\underline{1-15}$ are subject to restrictio	n and/or election re	quirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmer	• •		4) Interview Summary	((PTO-413)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	ГО-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Fer No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1 and 10 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species:

Species I - Figure 10

Species II - Figure 11

Species III- Figure 12.

The species are independent or distinct because: they each require a different internal structure. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 3635

A telephone call was made to Mr. Johnston on March 17, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica Laux whose telephone number is 571-272-8228. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30am to 4:00pm (est).

Art Unit: 3635

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 571-272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

03/17/2006

Uel Stell
N. Stack
Primary Ex.